

# Individual Problem Solving Worksheet

**\* Confidential \***

Student name: \_\_\_\_\_ Grade: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Birth: \_\_\_\_\_

School: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Referral: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Initial BEST Meeting: \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 1: to be completed prior to the Initial BEST Meeting.**

Reason for Referral:

---



---



---



---

Assessment Tools	Completed by	Date
File review (pp 1-5)	Classroom teacher	
Language proficiency (p 5)	ELL teacher	
Developmental history (attached)	Reviewed by special education teacher	
Classroom observation (attached)		
Intervention history and student response (attached)	Reviewed by reading specialist	

## File Review

### Attendance & Mobility History

Grade	Days Present	Days Absent	% Days Present	Tardies	School
K					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

### Transcript Review

(Mark each category with: N=not meeting grade-level standards; M=meeting standards; E=exceeding standards.)

Grade	Reading	Writing	Math	Comments
K				
1				
2				
3				
4				

**Additional Information**

Behavior (SWIS data)
Hearing/Vision screening results
Other

**DIBELS Next RECOMMENDED GOALS**

<b>K</b>	<b>K Fall Score</b>	<b>Fall Benchmark</b>	<b>K Winter Score</b>	<b>Winter Benchmark</b>	<b>K Spring Score</b>	<b>Spring Benchmark</b>
FSF		23		52		N/A
LNF		29		52		62
PSF		N/A		51		O/E
NWF-CLS		N/A		34		44
NWF-WWR		N/A		O/E		7

<b>1<sup>st</sup></b>	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Fall Score</b>	<b>Fall Benchmark</b>	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Winter Score</b>	<b>Winter Benchmark</b>	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Spring Score</b>	<b>Spring Benchmark</b>
LNF		58		N/A		N/A
PSF		O/NE		N/A		N/A
NWF-CL		42		70		96
NWF-WWR		7		21		30
ORF-WCR		N/A		34		69
ORF-A		N/A		86		98

<b>2<sup>nd</sup></b>	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Fall Score</b>	<b>Fall Benchmark</b>	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Winter Score</b>	<b>Winter Benchmark</b>	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Spring Score</b>	<b>Spring Benchmark</b>
NWF-CLS		74		N/A		N/A
NWF-WWR		22		N/A		N/A
ORF-WRC		80		100		111
ORF-A		99		99		99

<b>3<sup>rd</sup></b>	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Fall Score</b>	<b>Fall Benchmark</b>	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Winter Score</b>	<b>Winter Benchmark</b>	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Spring Score</b>	<b>Spring Benchmark</b>
ORF-WRC		97		115		123
ORF- A		99		99		99
DAZE		14		21		26

<b>4<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup> Fall Score</b>	<b>Fall Benchmark</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup> Winter Score</b>	<b>Winter Benchmark</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup> Spring Score</b>	<b>Spring Benchmark</b>
ORF-WRC		111		130		144
ORF- A		99		99		99
DAZE		20		23		31

5 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> Fall Score	Fall Benchmark	5 <sup>th</sup> Winter Score	Winter Benchmark	5 <sup>th</sup> Spring Score	Spring Benchmark
ORF-WRC		132		150		155
ORF- A		99		99		99
DAZE		21		25		32

**IDEL**

K	K Fall Score	Benchmark	K Winter Score	Benchmark	K Spring Score	Benchmark
LNF (FNL)		6		25		40
PSF (FSF)		15		30		50
NWF (FPS)		N/A		20		35

1 <sup>st</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> Fall Score	Benchmark	1 <sup>st</sup> Winter Score	Benchmark	1 <sup>st</sup> Spring Score	Benchmark
LNF (FNL)		35		N/A		N/A
PSF (FSF)		50		50		50
NWF (FPS)		35		70		90
ORF (FLO)		N/A		20		40

2 <sup>nd</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Fall Score	Benchmark	2 <sup>nd</sup> Winter Score	Benchmark	2 <sup>nd</sup> Spring Score	Benchmark
NWF (FPS)		90		N/A		N/A
ORF (FLO)		35		50		65

3 <sup>rd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Fall Score	Benchmark	3 <sup>rd</sup> Winter Score	Benchmark	3 <sup>rd</sup> Spring Score	Benchmark
ORF (FLO)		60		70		85

**EasyCBM (Benchmark scores are those scores closest to the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile.)**

K	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
PS			6			31			43
LN			24			35			45
LS			6			26			35
WRF			1			3			13

1 <sup>st</sup>	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
PS			37			50			52
LN			40			56			68
LS			31			41			45
WRF			15			28			49
PRF			7			32			60

Average rate of growth for a typical 1<sup>st</sup> grade student for oral reading fluency is 2 words per week. Average rate of growth for ½ a year would be 36 words.

2 <sup>nd</sup>	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
WRF			41			53			65
PRF			64			83			102
MCRC			7			9			10
Vocab			9			11			11

Average rate of growth for a typical 2<sup>nd</sup> grade student for oral reading fluency is 1.5 words per week. Average rate of growth for the year would be 54 words.

3 <sup>rd</sup>	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
WRF			47			57			65
PRF			87			117			116
MCRC			11			11			14
CCSS			20			21			23
Vocab			16			17			18

Average rate of growth for a typical 3<sup>rd</sup> grade student for oral reading fluency is 1 word per week. Average rate of growth for the year would be 36 words.

4 <sup>th</sup>	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
PRF			107			138			138
MCRC			12			14			15
CCSS			21			21			23
Vocab			16			17			18

Average rate of growth for a typical 4<sup>th</sup> grade student for oral reading fluency is 0.85 words per week. Average rate of growth for the year would be 31 words.

5 <sup>th</sup>	Fall Score	%ile	Benchmark	Winter Score	%ile	Benchmark	Spring Score	%ile	Benchmark
PRF			145			150			166
MCRC			14			16			15
CCSS			20			22			21
Vocab			17			17			18

Average rate of growth for a typical 5<sup>th</sup> grade student for oral reading fluency is 0.5 words per week. Average rate of growth for the year would be 18 words.

### Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)

Grade	Lexile Targets by Trimester			Student Fall Score	Student Winter Score	Student Spring Score
2 <sup>nd</sup>						
3 <sup>rd</sup>						
4 <sup>th</sup>						
5 <sup>th</sup>						

**Oregon Assessment of Knowledge & Skills (OAKS)**

Grade	Reading			Math		
	Student Score	%ile	Benchmark	Student Score	%ile	Benchmark
3 <sup>rd</sup>			211			212
4 <sup>th</sup>			216			219
5 <sup>th</sup>			221			225

**Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC)**

ELA Threshold Scores				
Grade	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3 <sup>rd</sup>	2490	2432	2367	Less than 2367
Student Score:				
4 <sup>th</sup>	2533	2473	2416	Less than 2416
Student Score:				
5 <sup>th</sup>	2582	2502	2442	Less than 2442
Student Score:				

Math Threshold Scores				
Grade	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3 <sup>rd</sup>	2501	2436	2381	Less than 2381
Student Score:				
4 <sup>th</sup>	2549	2485	2411	Less than 2411
Student Score:				
5 <sup>th</sup>	2579	2528	2455	Less than 2455
Student Score:				

**Language Proficiency**

**Oregon English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA)**

	K	1	2	3	4	5
ELPA benchmarks						
Early Intermediate: L2	483	492	495	501	497	497
Intermediate: L3	492	507	508	514	508	508
Early Advanced: L4	498	514	514	521	514	516
Advanced: L5- EXIT	507	523	523	529	521	523
TOTAL Student Score						

	K	1	2	3	4	5
Reading						
Writing						
Listening						
Speaking						
Comprehension						

**Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey**

Date:	Date:
Spanish Oral Language	English Oral Language
Oral Language TTL	Oral Language TTL
Reading-Writing	Reading-Writing
Broad Spanish Ability	Broad English Ability
Broad Spanish Ability – TTL	Broad English Ability – TTL
Listening	Listening
Oral Expression	Oral Expression
Reading	Reading
Writing	Writing
Language Comp	Language Comp
App Lang Prof	App Lang Prof

**AQS Score Total:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 2: to be completed at the Initial BEST Meeting.**

**Problem Solving Team Members**

Name	Role	Name	Role

Identify primary area of concern:	Behavior	Math	Reading	Writing	Other (describe) _____
-----------------------------------	----------	------	---------	---------	---------------------------

**Step 1: Problem Identification (What is the problem?)**

Student present level of performance:
---------------------------------------

Expected student level of performance:
Magnitude of discrepancy:
Problem definition:
Replacement behavior or target skill:

**Step 2: Problem Analysis (Why is it happening?)**

Domain	Relevant Known Information
Instruction (e.g., pacing, corrective feedback, explicitness, opportunities to practice, engagement, etc.)	
Curriculum (e.g., skills taught, instructional materials, scope and sequence, expected outcomes, previous interventions, etc.)	
Environment (e.g., room set-up, peer influence, expectations and rules, behavior management system, etc.)	
Learner (e.g., academic skills, behavioral concerns, etc.)	

**Based on the above information (instruction, curriculum, environment, and learner) why do you think the current problem is occurring and what is the predicted result of an appropriately matched intervention?**

**Problem Hypothesis:** The problem is occurring because

---

**Prediction:** The problem will be reduced if

---

**Data used to validate hypothesis:**

---

**Do you have enough information to complete the problem analysis and develop an intervention? If no, what else is needed and who will be responsible for collecting it?**

Information needed	Responsible person

**Step 3: Plan Development (What are we going to do?)**

Target skill: \_\_\_\_\_

Goal (This intervention will be successful if...):

What will be done? (actions taken, target skills taught, curriculum/materials used)

How will it be done? (instructional strategies, etc.)

Who is responsible? \_\_\_\_\_

Where will it occur? \_\_\_\_\_

How often? \_\_\_\_\_

Group size \_\_\_\_\_

Progress monitoring plan \_\_\_\_\_

What materials will be used? \_\_\_\_\_

Who is responsible? \_\_\_\_\_

How often? \_\_\_\_\_

Decision rule \_\_\_\_\_

Fidelity plan \_\_\_\_\_

What data will be collected? \_\_\_\_\_

How often will it be collected? \_\_\_\_\_

Who is responsible? \_\_\_\_\_

Minimum standard for fidelity \_\_\_\_\_

Follow up date \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 3: to be completed at the Follow-Up BEST Meeting.**

**Step 4: Plan Implementation & Evaluation (Did it work?)**

Attendance					
# of intervention days attended:		total # of intervention days:		% of intervention sessions attended:	

Fidelity	
Intervention fidelity data:	Minimum standard met? (circle one) Yes No

Progress	
Student rate of progress:	Peer/expected rate of progress:
• Less progress than expectation/peers	• More progress
	• Same progress

Performance	
Student level of performance:	Expected student level of performance:

Magnitude of Discrepancy		
• Less discrepant than expectation/peers	• More discrepant	• Same level of discrepancy
If less discrepant/good progress:		
Continue current intervention?	Yes	No
Fade intervention support?	Yes	No
If more discrepant/poor progress:		
Was the intervention implemented as planned?	Yes	No
Do we need to intensify supports?	Yes	No
Refer for special education evaluation?	Yes	No
If discrepancy the same/average progress:		
Was the intervention implemented as planned?	Yes	No
Do we need to intensify supports?	Yes	No
Refer for special education evaluation?	Yes	No

Attach graphed data.

Comments/Actions/Next Steps

---



---